

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
AUSTIN DIVISION

FILED
2007 APR 30 AM 8:33
CLERK US DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
BY _____
DEPUTY

NANO-PROPRIETARY, INC.,
Plaintiff,

-vs-

Case No. A-05-CA-258-SS

CANON INC. and CANON U.S.A., INC.,
Defendants.


ORDER

BE IT REMEMBERED on the 30th day of April 2007 the Court reviewed the file in the above-styled cause, specifically the parties' various Motions to Seal documents in the case. Because the underlying documents involve sensitive matters of corporate structure and contract negotiation, the following Motions to Seal are GRANTED: Nano's Motion to File Its Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Strike the Supplementary Damages Assessment of Dr. James V. Koch and Plaintiff's Second Supplemental Response to Canon's Third Set of Interrogatories Under Seal [#157]; Canon's Motion to File Their Motion for Partial Reconsideration of the Court's February 22, 2007 Order Under Seal [#184]; Canon's Motion to File Their Motion in Limine Concerning the Restructuring of SED Inc., and Supporting Documents, Under Seal [#208]; Canon's Motion to File Their Motion in Limine Concerning The Lost Opportunity to License Toshiba, and Supporting Documents, Under Seal [#210]; Nano's Motion for Leave to File Its Opposition to Defendants' Supplemental Motion to Preclude the Expert Reports and Testimony of Dr. James V. Koch Under Seal [#223], Canon's Motion to File Their Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendants' Supplemental Motion to Preclude the Expert Reports and Testimony of Dr. James V. Koch Under Seal [#227], Nano's

Motion for Leave to File Its Opposition to Defendants' Motion in Limine Concerning the Restructuring of SED Inc. Under Seal [#229], and Nano's Motion for Leave to file its Response in Opposition to Canon's Motion in Limine Concerning The Lost Opportunity to License Toshiba Under Seal [#231].

Canon's Motion To File Their Reply In Support of Their Motion to File Their Opposition Under Seal [195] is DENIED. The motion is insufficiently specific to allow the Court to determine what documents Canon seeks to file under seal, let alone whether there is good cause to seal those documents.

SIGNED this the 30th day of April 2007.



SAM SPARKS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE