

1 out, taking -- putting tenants in there, doing all sort  
2 of things. She was a medical guardian, and in this  
3 matter she was a medical guardian, so I think this is  
4 very connected. I think we have to establish it at  
5 least when we establish whether this is an intentional  
6 act by Dr. Corbett, then we'll ask -- it will open  
7 something up. I will be satisfied one way or the other  
8 I mean, I just want to hear what he says. If he can  
9 explain this, I'm happy with that. If he can -- if he  
10 has some information about diabetes that I don't, I'll  
11 be happy with that. But I have looked systematically,  
12 and the reason that was given by the county was bogus.  
13 It was -- it looked feasible and plausible, but it is  
14 bogus.

15 THE COURT: You are not telling me this  
16 afternoon -- We're getting wide afield. But the only  
17 reason I'm -- I'm allowing this dialogue to go on is  
18 I've indicated this Estate is six years old and -- and  
19 it's time that we bring it to a close.

20 MS. DUCHENE: And I assure you, Judge Mansur,  
21 if I had had a very clear answer from Dr. Plunkett in  
22 1988, this Estate would not still be going on.

23 THE COURT: But --

24 MS. DUCHENE: Judge, Dr. Murphy wrote a very  
25 reasonable answer and Dr. Murphy's a medical doctor.